

## **Public Administration Review Editorial Board Meeting**

**Monday, March 12, 2018**

**5:00-6:15PM**

**Centennial A**

**Hyatt Regency**

**Denver, Colorado**

### **Agenda**

1. Welcome—Paul Battaglio and Jeremy Hall, *PAR* Co-Editors-in-Chief
2. Editorial Team, Staff and Board Introductions
3. *PAR* Annual Report (*handout*)
4. Recent Initiatives
  - a. Features
  - b. Viewpoint (Steve Condrey)
  - c. Revitalized Social Media (Staci Zavatarro)
5. Wiley-Blackwell Report (Michael Streeter; *handout*)
6. Other Business

PAR maintained its distinctive reputation as the preeminent professional journal dedicated to advancing theory and practice in public administration. The latest report from Thomson Reuters (2016) has PAR ranked 2/47 in Public Administration, with an Impact Factor of 3.624 (5-year Impact Factor: 3.613). PAR is the #1 ranked journal in the Google Scholar Rankings Public Policy and Administration category. The statistics below demonstrate our commitment under the new editorial team to lead the field with rapid response for submitting authors as well as consistent, on-time publication.

**Table 1.** Changes in Journal Turnaround Time

<b>Task</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>Diff.</b>	<b>Jan-June 2017</b>	<b>July-Dec. 2017</b>	<b>Diff.</b>
<i>Submission to Technical Check Complete</i>	1.2 days	2.2 days	+1 day	1.3 days	3.1 days	+1.8 days
<i>Technical Check Complete to Editor Assignment</i>	0 days	1 day	+1 day	1.5 days	0.6 days	-0.9 days
<i>Submission to Editor Assignment</i>	1.2 days	3.3 days	+2.1 days	3 days	3.6 days	+0.6 days
<i>Submission to Reviewer Invitation</i>	12.9 days	8.8 days	-4.1 days	15 days	3.4 days	-11.6 days
<i>Submission to First Decision</i>	49.6 days	45.4 days	-4.2 days	51.4 days	39.7 days	-11.7 days

**Table 2.** Changes in Journal Turnaround Time (2016-2017)

<b>Task</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>Diff.</b>
<i>Submission to Technical Check Complete</i>	1.2 days	2.2 days	+1 day
<i>Technical Check Complete to Editor Assignment</i>	0 days	1 day	+1 day
<i>Submission to Editor Assignment</i>	1.2 days	3.3 days	+2.1 days
<i>Submission to Reviewer Invitation</i>	12.9 days	8.8 days	-4.1 days
<i>Submission to First Decision</i>	49.6 days	45.4 days	-4.2 days

**Table 3.** Changes in Journal Turnaround Time (Jan-June 2017 – July-Dec. 2017)

<b>Task</b>	<b>Jan-June 2017</b>	<b>July-Dec. 2017</b>	<b>Diff.</b>
<i>Submission to Technical Check Complete</i>	1.3 days	3.1 days	+1.8 days
<i>Technical Check Complete to Editor Assignment</i>	1.5 days	0.6 days	-0.9 days
<i>Submission to Editor Assignment</i>	3 days	3.6 days	+0.6 days
<i>Submission to Reviewer Invitation</i>	15 days	3.4 days	-11.6 days
<i>Submission to First Decision</i>	51.4 days	39.7 days	-11.7 days

**Table 4.** Decision Statistics

<b>Decision</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>Jan-June 2017</b>	<b>July-Dec. 2017</b>
<i>Reviewed</i>	560	581	270	311
<i>Accepted</i>	69	73	33	40
<i>Desk Reject</i>	239	238	108	130
<i>Rejected</i>	193	273	120	153

*Note:* Accepted and rejected manuscripts might have been submitted the year prior to receiving the accepted or rejected decision.

**Table 5.** Reviewer Statistics

<b>Reviewer Tasks</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>Jan-June 2017</b>	<b>July-Dec. 2017</b>
<i>Days to Respond to Invitation</i>	2.8	3	2.3	3.5
<i>Days to Complete Review (from date agreed to review)</i>	30	31.4	30.5	32.2
<i>Number of Late Reviews</i>	392	549	236	313
<i>Average Days Late</i>	13	15.1	12.5	17.1
<i>Number of Early Reviews</i>	606	685	318	367
<i>Average Days Early</i>	9.8	10.3	9.5	10.9

**Table 6.** Country of Origin Statistics

	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>Jan-June 2017</b>	<b>July-Dec 2017</b>
<i>Countries Articles were submitted from</i>	52	61	41	41
<i>Total Number of Countries accepted from</i>	9	10	7	5


**Table 7.** Acceptance Rate per Country

<b>Country</b>	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>	<b>Jan-June 2017</b>	<b>July-Dec 2017</b>
<i>Australia</i>	11.8%	12.5%		20%
<i>Belgium</i>	20%	50%	50%	
<i>Denmark</i>		20%	33.3%	
<i>Germany</i>		12.5%	33.3%	
<i>Hong Kong</i>	28.6%	12%	25%	
<i>Ireland</i>				
<i>Israel</i>	14.3%			
<i>Italy</i>	9.10%			
<i>Korea</i>	5%	2.6%	5.6%	
<i>Monaco</i>		100%		100%
<i>Netherlands</i>	21.1%	13.3%	28.6%	
<i>Norway</i>				
<i>Switzerland</i>		14.3%		25%
<i>United Kingdom</i>	14.3%			21.1%
<i>United States</i>	19.5%	7.8%	10.8%	2.9%

*Note:* Countries Acceptance Rate (percentage determined based off of submissions accepted divided by submissions received by country)


# Wiley 2017 Overview

Global Readership: Article Downloads by Region



Overall Usage (Trends over Time)							
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Australia & NZ	12,121	12,650	14,735	16,882	18,435	21,332	26,086
Canada	9,043	9,119	10,904	10,029	8,887	9,739	11,732
China	15,697	17,499	24,237	21,418	22,935	24,731	29,489
Europe	33,082	40,782	48,334	51,282	53,054	64,436	81,411
Japan	1,535	1,768	2,085	2,236	2,137	2,489	2,543
Rest of World	40,019	49,898	56,717	47,813	48,082	59,019	71,396
UK	18,530	19,733	22,445	25,115	24,888	28,378	32,196
USA	99,056	113,446	135,411	151,137	119,866	134,999	155,761

**Article download trends over time**



**Overall readership has increased by just about 19% (from 345k to 411k). This compares with 16% growth overall in 2016.**

## Most Downloaded Articles on Wiley Online Library

Rank	Author(s)	Title	Vol	Iss	No. of Accesses
1(8)	Downe, J., Cowell, R., & Morgan, K.	What Determines Ethical Behavior in Public Organizations: Is It Rules or Leadership?	76	6	18066
2(-)	Grimmelikhuijsen, S., Jilke, S., Olsen, A. L., & Tummers, L.	Behavioral Public Administration: Combining Insights from Public Administration and Psychology	77	1	8847
3(4)	Hodge, G. A., & Greve, C.	Public–Private Partnerships: An International Performance Review	67	3	2645
4(3)	Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Bloomberg, L.	Public Value Governance: Moving Beyond Traditional Public Administration and the New Public Management	74	4	2620
5(6)	Bovaird, T.	Beyond Engagement and Participation: User and Community Coproduction of Public Services	67	5	2498
6(-)	Head, B. W.	Toward More “Evidence-Informed” Policy Making?	76	3	2441
7(3)	Bryson, J. M., Quick, K. S., Slotterback, C. S., & Crosby, B. C.	Designing Public Participation Processes	73	1	2430
8(-)	Nicholson-Crotty, S., Nicholson-Crotty, J., & Fernandez, S.	Will More Black Cops Matter? Officer Race and Police-Involved Homicides of Black Citizens	77	2	2240
9(-)	Liu, H. K	Crowdsourcing Government: Lessons from Multiple Disciplines	77	5	2230
10(-)	Riccucci, N. M., & Van Ryzin, G. G.	Representative Bureaucracy: A Lever to Enhance Social Equity, Coproduction, and Democracy	77	1	2129
Previous year’s ranking in parentheses					



## Altmetric Impact

Rank	Author(s)	Title	Vol	Iss	Altmetric Score
1(-)	Haeder, S. F., & Weimer, D. L.	You Can't Make Me Do It: State Implementation of Insurance Exchanges under the Affordable Care Act	73	s1	279
2(1)	Liu, C., & Mikesell, J. L.	The Impact of Public Officials' Corruption on the Size and Allocation of U.S. State Spending	74	3	215
3(2)	Wilkins, V. M., & Williams, B. N.	Black or Blue: Racial Profiling and Representative Bureaucracy	68	4	160
4(4)	Grimmelikhuijsen, S., Jilke, S., Olsen, A. L., & Tummers, L.	Behavioral Public Administration: Combining Insights from Public Administration and Psychology	74	4	70
5(-)	Nicholson-Crotty, S., Nicholson-Crotty, J., & Fernandez, S.	Will More Black Cops Matter? Officer Race and Police-Involved Homicides of Black Citizens	67	5	68
6(-)	Cucciniello, M., Porumbescu, G. A., & Grimmelikhuijsen, S.	25 Years of Transparency Research: Evidence and Future Directions	77	1	67
7(5)	Mergel, I., Rethemeyer, R. K., & Isett, K.	Big Data in Public Affairs	76	6	60
8(-)	Cairney, P., Oliver, K., & Wellstead, A.	To Bridge the Divide between Evidence and Policy: Reduce Ambiguity as Much as Uncertainty	76	3	57
9(-)	Ospina, S. M., Esteve, M., & Lee, S.	Assessing Qualitative Studies in Public Administration Research	-	-	52
10(-)	de Lancer Julnes, P., & Johnson, D.	Strengthening Efforts to Engage the Hispanic Community in Citizen? Driven Governance: An Assessment of Efforts in Utah	71	2	50
Previous year's ranking in parentheses					

## **PAR Social Media Report**

### **Twitter**

Our Twitter page, *@PARreview*, currently has more than 2,800 followers. Over the past 14 months we have posted more than 237 times to our account and have seen growth in the amount of retweets and engagements.

		<b>Tweets</b>	<b>Tweet Impressions</b>	<b>Profile Visits</b>	<b>Mentions</b>	<b>New Followers</b>
<b>2017</b>	Jan	19	27000	2325	51	63
	Feb	21	28100	3295	118	80
	Mar	23	18100	2815	131	58
	April	9	13300	1885	116	63
	May	31	31500	2187	79	2287
	Jun	23	26500	1969	146	64
	Jul	25	31400	2195	188	93
	Aug	24	33900	1940	108	69
	Sep	3	13300	1117	88	73
	Oct	15	22200	934	95	57
	Nov	4	10700	953	52	70
	Dec	7	12800	838	33	59
<b>2018</b>	Jan	19	22900	1459	55	60
	Feb	14	23800	1232	42	41
		<b>Tweets</b>	<b>Following</b>	<b>Followers</b>	<b>Likes</b>	<b>Lists</b>
<b>Total (Lifetime)</b>		2139	771	2890	161	8

## Facebook

Our Facebook page, @PARreview, currently has more than 4,400 followers and 4,400 likes. Over the past year our page has received more than 848 new likes, and we have posted more than 500 times to our page. Additionally, we have seen growth in the amount of daily organic impressions and daily page engaged users in each consecutive year.

	2015	2016	2017	% Change 2015 vs 2016	% Change 2016 vs 2017
<b>Lifetime Total Impressions:</b> The number of times your posts were seen in News Feed or ticker or on visits to your Page. These impressions can be by people who have liked your Page and people who haven't. (Total Count)	176,684	212,022	242,330	20%	14%
<b>Daily Page Engaged Users:</b> Daily Page Engaged Users: The number of people who engaged with your Page. Engagement includes any click or story created. (Unique Users)	4,514	5,050	5,896	12%	17%

## LinkedIn

We initiated our LinkedIn page, <https://www.linkedin.com/in/pareview/>, in late 2017. Currently our page has over 140 connections and 160 followers. Our recent video post for #PARminute received over 770 views in a one-week period.